Equity-Centered Assessment: Embedding Equity Throughout the Assessment Cycle

While the assessment cycle—determining outcomes, selecting methods, collecting data, analyzing data, and using and sharing results—takes place in discrete stages, a shift in our lens may help us open our thinking to recognize that the stages are not as separate as we often make them. As practitioners, considering power, positionality, agency, voice, and stakeholder integration are core strategies for embedding attention to equity throughout the assessment cycle. Below is a list of items to consider as you engage in equity-centered assessment.



Throughout the Assessment Process

student population may not be appropriate at another.

Ш	participants, and recipients of the data/results.
	Engage stakeholders: Include students, campus partners, community partners in design, administration, analysis and use/sharing of results.
П	Use campus context specific approaches: what works at one institutional type with a particular

		on-dominant approaches and methods: identify ways to shift power dynamics and e lived experiences of students by learning from other epistemologies.
	0	Universal Design for Learning (UDL)
	0	Indigenous research methods and pedagogy
	0	Wisdom traditions
	0	Critical theory
D	etermi	ine Outcomes
		at your outcomes framework is <u>equity-minded</u> and includes the experiences of red populations.
	Evaluate land belief	earning outcomes and learning outcomes frameworks for dominant epistemologies s.
	Include st	udents in drafting outcomes.
	Engage st	udents in mapping learning outcomes to learning experiences.
	Reflect on	the motivation for outcomes (e.g., reflection? employability? learning?).
D	esign	or Select Methods and Collect Data
	Select me	thods that balance demand for rigor and generalizability with cultural attentiveness
	Engage st	udents in determining methods for showcasing their learning.
	Engage st	udents in the design of the method or tool.
	Solicit stu	dent feedback on the questions and prompts developed.
	For standa	ardized surveys, examine the theory supporting the survey development.
		multiple methods (e.g., storytelling circles, rubrics, ePortfolios, narratives, photo, cument analysis, video blogs, existing data analysis).
	Triangulat	e findings for robust and dynamic reporting.
		and evaluate language for bias (implicit or explicit), inclusion, signals of "normalcy" or eity, and supportive identity orientation.
Α	nalyze	Data
	the voices	akeholders in <u>interpretation and reporting</u> to ensure the results are representative of that matter, bias is mitigated, and any deficit orientated language can be more entified, removed, and re-written.
	Disaggreg	gate data by populations.

	Engage in multiple types of data analysis (e.g., within group and across group analysis). When comparing across groups, do not hold the white student experiences as the benchmark for comparison. Consider equally the results for each group.
	When drawing comparisons across groups, contextualize the results in student experiences (e.g., not all students have the same resources, access, or experience).
	Not all statistical analysis approaches require a large N (e.g., <u>Structural Equation Modeling</u>). Discussions around having "enough" responses can silence historically marginalized populations.
U	se and Share Results for Change
U:	se and Share Results for Change Be intentional in your approach to data analysis and sharing.
U:	
U:	Be intentional in your approach to data analysis and sharing.
U:	Be intentional in your approach to data analysis and sharing. Consider how you may be writing results from a deficit-based orientation.